

Case No. 13 (OMB) of 2025.

Re: Complaint of Mridul Beria.

Present:

For Mridul Beria.

Mr. Bishwaroop Bhattacharya, Senior Advocate

Mr. Akash Roy, Advocate.

Mr. Kaustav Banerjee, Advocate.

Mr. Bikash Shaw.

For NCC.

Amitesh Banerjee, Senior Advocate.

Mr. Debanshu Dinda, Advocate.

For CAB

Mr. Samrat Sen, Senior Advocate.

Order No. 4 Dated 10th January, 2026.

Mridul Beria being the complainant herein has submitted an application *inter alia* praying for analogous hearing of the dispute involved in this proceeding along with the dispute involved in the proceeding being Case No. 9(OMB) of 2025, as according to Mridul Beria, the subject matter of dispute in both the aforesaid proceedings are identical.

Mr. Amitesh Banerjee, learned Senior Advocate appearing for NCC submits that CAB has not yet submitted its response in this proceeding. As such, the issue which will be ultimately raised in this proceeding, cannot be speculated now. He thus, submits that let CAB first of all submits its response in this proceeding, and it is only thereafter, the undersigned can ascertain the issues which will be raised in this proceedings in the light of the stand to be taken by CAB in its response. Mr. Banerjee thus, contends that the hearing of the present application submitted by Mridul Beria should be deferred till the issues which are involved in this proceeding are ascertained by the undersigned, after submission of response by CAB in this matter.

The undersigned feels that, this is a very fair stand taken by Mr. Banerjee. Accordingly, hearing of present application filed by Mridul Beria stands adjourned till 31st January, 2026.

Considering that, ICC, T20 World-Cup may be exhibited at Eden Gardens on 7th February, 2026, it is made clear that no further adjournment will be granted to any of the parties under any circumstances.

Time for submission of the Response by CAB is extended till 31.01.2026.

It is made clear that, in case CAB decides not to submit its response to the said complaint of the complainants, CAB may contest the proceeding by producing the records; however, in such case, CAB is required to intimate its adversaries, the documents on which they will be rely upon in course of hearing and in such case if inspection of those documents, is sought for by any of its adversaries, CAB is required to give inspection of those documents to its adversaries well in advance of the date of hearing, but positively by 27th January, 2026, so that, its adversaries can effectively participate in the hearing by giving their reaction to those documents in course of hearing. It is also made clear that in case supply of copy of any of the documents is insisted upon by the adversaries, CAB is directed to supply those copies to them, if it is not so voluminous and if voluminous at the cost of the party applying for such copy.

Next sitting will be held on **31st January, 2026 at 7:00p.m.**, at the same venue with the clear understanding that the matter will be taken up for hearing on that day even if no response is submitted by CAB in terms of the direction as given herein above.

CAB is directed to communicate this order to all concerned, at the earliest.



Justice Jyotirmay Bhattacharya
Former Chief Justice, High Court at Calcutta,
Presently Ombudsman, Cricket Association of Bengal.